UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/826,630 | 04/16/2004 | Stephen K. Pinto | 17146-0007001 | 1302 |
| 26161 FISH & RICHA | 7590 04/08/200 ARDSON PC | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 1022 | 2 | OCHOA, JUAN CARLOS | | |
| MINNEAPOLI | S, MN 55440-1022 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2123 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/08/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/826,630 | PINTO ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | | 11 0. 00110/1 | 2120 | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communic | ation appears o | on the cover sheet with t | he correspondence add | dress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>18 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLAC | CE THIS APPLIC | ATION IN CONDITION FO | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prapplication, applicant must timely file one of the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant periods: | e following replie tice of Appeal (w | es: (1) an amendment, affic rith appeal fee) in compliar | davit, or other evidence, whice with 37 CFR 41.31; o | which places the or (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths fro | m the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing on event, however, will the statutory period for Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either | reply expire later th er box (a) or (b). Of | an SIX MONTHS from the ma | ailing date of the final rejection | on. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See M Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C NOTICE OF APPEAL | a). The date on whe period of extension date of the shorter e Office later than | n and the corresponding amo ned statutory period for reply | unt of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Office | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A b | rief in compliance | e with 37 CFR 41.37 must | be filed within two month | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply mus AMENDMENTS | or any extension | thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a fina | I rejection, but pr | ior to the date of filing a bi | rief, will <u>not</u> be entered be | ecause |
| (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require | e further conside | ration and/or search (see l | NOTE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see | • | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the applic appeal; and/or | ation in better fo | rm for appeal by materially | reducing or simplifying t | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without c | anceling a corres | sponding number of finally | rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See | 37 CFR 1.116 ar | nd 41.33(a)). | · | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 3 | 37 CFR 1.121. Se | ee attached Notice of Non | -Compliant Amendment (| (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following | rejection(s): | | · | , |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)non-allowable claim(s). | | | te, timely filed amendme | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendr how the new or amended claims would be reje The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as folio Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11,13-19,22,23 and 25-40 | ected is provided ows: | | will be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) rejected. 1-17,75-79,22,25 and 25-46 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final because applicant failed to provide a showing was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116 | of good and suff | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the d entered because the affidavit or other evidence showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is | e failed to overco s necessary and | ome <u>all</u> rejections under ap was not earlier presented | ppeal and/or appellant fail . See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | ls to provide a). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | explanation of the | ne status of the claims afte | er entry is below or attach | ned. |
| The request for reconsideration has been cor See Continuation Sheet. | nsidered but doe | s NOT place the application | on in condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Sta</i>13. ☐ Other: | tement(s). (PTO | /SB/08) Paper No(s) | _ | |
| | | /Kidest Bahta/ | | |
| | | Primary Examiner, A | rt Unit 2123 | |
| | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 now contains "at least one of the variables being from the pool of predictor variables and having less than the first predetermined level of significance". The claim previously recited "at least one of the variables being from the first population of predictor variables and having less than the first predetermined level of significance". This changes the scope, which would require further search.

Claim 34 now contains "based on data weaving of the historical data to provide cross-modal optimization". The claim previously recited "based on data weaving to provide cross-modal optimization". This changes the scope, which would require further search.

Amended claims have been filed, but no statement indicating an after final amendment. It's unclear if the claims are an after final amendment.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the claim objections of claims 1, 4, 17, 18, 27, 28, and 30; if the amendment were entered; the amendment would correct all deficiencies, and the objections would be withdrawn. Regarding the claim objection of claim 30; if the amendment were entered; the amendment would not correct all deficiencies, and the objections would not be withdrawn.

Regarding the rejections under 112; if the amendment were entered; the amendment would not correct all deficiencies.

Regarding the rejection under 103. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but they are not persuasive..